BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION WASHINGTON, D. C.

DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION LOCKETS

01 NOV -9 PM 3: 45

Joint Applications of

AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC. and BRITISH AIRWAYS PLC

: OST-2001-10387 132 : OST-2001-10388 _ 100

under 49 USC 41308 and 41309 for approval and antitrust immunity and under 14 CFR Part 212 and 49 USC 40109 for blanket codesharing and related exemption authority

Joint Applications of

UNITED AIR LINES, INC. : OST-2001-10575 / 3
BRITISH MIDLAND AIRWAYS LIMITED, : OST-2001-10576 - 6
AUSTRIAN AIRLINES AG AUSTRIAN AIRLINES AG LAUDA AIR LUFTFAHRT AG DEUTSCHE LUFTHANSA AG and SCANDINAVIAN AIRLINES SYSTEM

under 49 USC 41308 and 41309 for approval and antitrust immunity and (for United/British Midland) under 14 CFR Part 212 and 49 USC 40109 for blanket codesharing and related exemption authority

> JOINT ANSWER OF AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC. AND BRITISH AIRWAYS PLC IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION OF NORTHWEST AIRLINES, INC. TO CONSOLIDATE

Communications with respect to this document should be sent to:

For British Airways:

JOHN WOOD Executive Vice President, External Affairs, Americas British Airways Plc 1850 K Street, N.W. Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20006

For American Airlines:

HENRY C. JOYNER Senior Vice President -Planning
American Airlines, Inc.

Pox 619616, MD 562 P.O. Box 619616, MD 5628 DFW Airport, Texas 75261 PAUL C. JASINSKI General Counsel, Americas JAMES B. BLANEY Senior Counsel, Americas British Airways Plc 75-20 Astoria Boulevard Jackson Heights, NY 11378 (713) 397-4250

DARYL A. LIBOW
Sullivan & Cromwell
1701 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 956-7650
(202) 293-6330 (fax)
libowd@sullcrom.com (email)

DON H. HAINBACH
Boros & Garofalo, P.C.
1201 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 822-9070
(202) 822-9075
dhainbach@bgairlaw.com (email)

C. DAVID CUSH
Vice President - Int'l
 Affairs and Alliances
American Airlines, Inc.
P.O. Box 619616, MD 5635
DFW Airport, Texas 75261

WILLIAM K. RIS, JR.
Senior Vice President Government Affairs
American Airlines, Inc.
1101 17th Street, N.W.
Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036

MARK C. SCHECHTER
Howrey Simon Arnold &
White LLP
1299 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 783-0800
(202) 383-6610 (fax)
schechterm@howrey.com
(email)

J. OTTO GRUNOW
Managing Director - International Affairs
American Airlines, Inc.
P.O. Box 619616, MD 5639
DFW Airport, Texas 75261
(817) 931-4426

CARL B. NELSON, JR.
Associate General Counsel
GREG A. SIVINSKI
Senior Attorney
American Airlines, Inc.
1101 17th Street, N.W.
Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 496-5647
(202) 857-4246 (fax)
carl.nelson@aa.com (email)

November 9, 2001

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION WASHINGTON, D. C.

Joint Applications of

AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC. and BRITISH AIRWAYS PLC

: OST-2001-10387 : OST-2001-10388

under 49 USC 41308 and 41309 for approval and antitrust immunity and under 14 CFR Part 212 and 49 USC 40109 for blanket codesharing and related exemption authority

______ _____

Joint Applications of

UNITED AIR LINES, INC. UNITED AIR LINES, INC. : OST-2001-10575
BRITISH MIDLAND AIRWAYS LIMITED, : OST-2001-10576
AUSTRIAN AIRLINES AC AUSTRIAN AIRLINES AG LAUDA AIR LUFTFAHRT AG DEUTSCHE LUFTHANSA AG and SCANDINAVIAN AIRLINES SYSTEM

under 49 USC 41308 and 41309 for approval and antitrust immunity and (for United/British Midland) under 14 CFR Part 212 and 49 USC 40109 for blanket codesharing and related exemption authority _____

> JOINT ANSWER OF AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC. AND BRITISH AIRWAYS PLC IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION OF NORTHWEST AIRLINES, INC. TO CONSOLIDATE

American Airlines, Inc. and British Airways Plc hereby jointly answer in opposition to the motion submitted on October 31, 2001 by Northwest Airlines, Inc. to consolidate the captioned proceedings. Northwest's motion is clearly interposed for delay, makes no compelling case that consolidation or other relief is required, and should be denied.

The American/British Airways applications were filed three months ago, on August 10, 2001, and were deemed complete on August 27, 2001. Answers were submitted on November 2, 2001, and replies are due today, closing the record for the submission of pleadings.

By contrast, the applications by United and British Midland were submitted on September 5, 2001, and they did not even attempt to complete their submission until October 18, 2001. The Department has not yet deemed the United/British Midland applications complete, and no scheduling notice has been issued.¹

If the Department were to grant Northwest's motion, the American/British Airways proceeding, which is now ripe for a show-cause order, would be unduly delayed. That would place at grave risk the achievement of an open skies agreement between the U.S. and the U.K.

The Department has already rejected a series of other delaying tactics by Northwest and its ally Continental Airlines, Inc., and should recognize that Northwest's latest motion is simply more of the same. Indeed, by Order 2001-9-12,

¹On November 6, 2001, the Department issued a notice in the United/bmi dockets (OST-2001-10575 and 10576) granting access to confidential documents by affidavit holders, and stated that "[w]e will announce an appropriate procedural schedule for the processing of these cases at a later date" (p. 3).

September 17, 2001, the Department turned aside earlier arguments that the American/British Airways and United/British Midland applications be considered together:

"Continental and Northwest argue that we must consider the subject joint application [AA/BA] and the proposed alliance between United Air Lines and British Midland at the same time. However, at this point we have not established procedures and procedural dates for considering the UAL/bmi application because those applicants have not submitted all of the information needed to process their application" (p. 4).

In that same order, the Department denied Northwest's motion for a 120-day extension of the answer period, explaining that:

"Because of a pending challenge to the U.K.'s authority to sign a bilateral aviation services agreement with the United States, we must act promptly on the application filed here by American and British Airways. Currently, each member state of the European Union negotiates separately its bilateral aviation relations with the United States. The Commission of the European Union has asked the European Court of Justice to rule that the Commission -- and not individual member states -- is the appropriate party to negotiate aviation relations with the United The ECJ is expected to issue its States. ruling soon. A favorable ruling for the Commission could seriously inhibit our ability to reach an open skies agreement with the United Kingdom" (p. 4, emphasis added).

In Order 2001-9-15, September 24, 2001, the Department rejected yet another request that this proceeding be deferred for 120 days, finding that "we must move forward with

the public's business as required by the exigencies of this case" (p. 4). And in Order 2001-10-13, October 26, 2001, the Department again denied requests for delay, stating that "we are committed to carrying on the business of government, and this includes processing application for antitrust immunity" (p. 3).

Northwest has made no credible showing that the confidential submissions made by United/British Midland in their dockets are necessary to assess the merits of the American/British Airways applications. American and British Airways have submitted voluminous materials, including a number of items specifically requested by Northwest (see Order 2001-9-12). The AA/BA dockets include far more data and information than in any comparable proceeding. Northwest surely does not need to go on a fishing expedition into the United/British Midland dockets to present its case on American and British Airways, when Northwest barely made any use of the information available in the AA/BA record itself.²

²Indeed, in its answer to AA/BA on November 2, 2001, Northwest cited only two of the confidential documents submitted by American; made little use of the supplemental data Northwest requested in its motion of September 10, 2001 and that the Department required the applicants to submit by Order 2001-9-15; and made only scattered reference to confidential documents submitted by British Airways.

Moreover, if the Department were to accept Northwest's theory that "[t]he potential combined effects of the proposed alliances must be evaluated in their totality" (p. 4), then there would be no reason not to consolidate the pending Delta/Air France/Alitalia/Czech Airlines antitrust immunity proceeding (OST-2001-10429) as well. The decisional process would be unending, which is exactly the goal Northwest is pursuing.

Northwest's motion runs counter to applicable precedent in similar situations. In American/Canadian Airlines, Order 96-7-21, July 16, 1996, the Department denied various claims that "due process considerations require us to give simultaneous consideration to the American/CAI and United/Air Canada [antitrust immunity] applications," saying that "[w]e disagree" (p. 13):

"The <u>Ashbacker</u> requirement of contemporaneous consideration of mutually exclusive applications does not govern this proceeding.... Since we have no policy of limiting the number of immunized alliances, this proceeding is not analogous to the types of proceedings where courts required contemporaneous consideration of mutually-exclusive licenses....

"Furthermore, American and CAI filed their joint application on November 3, 1995. We issued our show-causae order on May 28, 1996, nearly seven months later. However, United and Air Canada only filed their application with their answer and comments to our show-cause order, when the American/CAI application was already ripe for decision and, indeed, had been tentatively decided. The

due process requirements of <u>Ashbacker</u> do not demand that we defer a final decision in this case, in order to consider an application that was filed much later.

"Finally, <u>Doubleday</u> merely requires us to give similar treatment to similarly situated applicants. We will consider the United/ Air Canada application in due course, and will reach a decision based upon the particular facts in the record of that case and on other officially noticeable data, just as we have done here" (p.14).

It is also well-established that "the principle of administrative finality is...an element of the public interest, and that principle demands that the record in route cases be brought to a close as expeditiously as possible.... We are not prepared...to reopen the record simply because parties...desire an additional bite at the apple. To do so would be to invite interminable delay and to deprive the market of competitive services found to be required by the public interest." See Chicago-New Orleans Nonstop Route Proceeding, 73 CAB 543, 550 (1977). This principle of finality should equally be applied in antitrust immunity proceedings.

For the same reasons as stated above, we also oppose Northwest's "alternative" request that, if consolidation is not granted, then the Department should allow supplemental answers in the AA/BA dockets once the confidential submissions in the United/British Midland dockets have been made available to affidavit holders. This alternative is intended to have the

same result as outright consolidation, that is, to delay a decision on AA/BA. The Department should reject Northwest's alternative request as well.

In short, Northwest's latest motion is a transparent attempt to cause such a delay in the Department's decision on AA/BA that the U.K. will lose its ability to negotiate an open skies agreement with the U.S. The Department should rule, once again, that it will not put off its decision. Northwest's motion should be denied in its entirety.

Respectfully submitted,

PAUL C. JASINSKI

General Counsel, Americas

JAMES B. BLANEY

Senior Counsel, Americas

British Airways Plc

CARL B. NELSON, JR.

Associate General Counsel

GREG A. SIVINSKI

Senior Attorney
American Airlines, Inc.

November 9, 2001

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document by hand delivery or first-class mail on all persons named on the attached service list.

Carl B. NELSON, JR.

November 9, 2001

R. Bruce Keiner Crowell & Moring LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

M. Rush O'Keefe, Jr.
Vice President, Regulatory
Affairs
Federal Express Corporation
1980 Nonconnah Blvd.
Memphis, TN 38132

Robert E. Cohn
Alexander Van der Bellen
Shaw Pittman LLP
2300 N Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Burton J. Rubin
Paul M. Ruden
American Society of Travel
Agents, Inc.
110 King Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Megan Rae Rosia Associate General Counsel Northwest Airlines, Inc. 901 15th Street, N.W. Suite 310 Washington, D.C. 20005 Robert D. Papkin
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey,
LLP
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20004

Jeffrey A. Manley Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering 2445 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Rebecca L. Taylor Leftwich & Douglas, PLLC 1401 New York Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

David S. Stempler Air Travelers Association 5335 Wisconsin Ave., N.W. Suite 440 Washington, D.C. 20015

Michael F. Goldman Silverberg, Goldman & Bikoff, LLP 1101 30th Street, N.W. Suite 120 Washington, D.C. 20007 Roger W. Fones
Antitrust Division
Department of Justice
325 7th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20520

Donald T. Bliss O'Melveny & Myers LLP 555 13th Street, N.W. Suite 500 West Washington, D.C. 20004

Richard P. Taylor Steptoe & Johnson LLP 1330 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 Kevin P. Mitchell Chairman Business Travel Coalition 214 Grouse Lane Suite 210 Radnor, PA 19087

Office of Aviation
Negotiations
Department of State
2201 C Street, N.W.
Room 5531
Washington, D.C. 20520

Barry K. Humphreys
Director, External Affairs
& Route Development
Virgin Atlantic Airways Ltd.
The Office, Manor Royal
Crawley RH 203 NU
United Kingdom

Edgar N. James
Marie Chopra
James & Hoffman, P.C.
1101 17th Street, N.W.
Suite 510
Washington, D.C. 20036

Edward P. Faberman
Michelle M. Faust
Air Carrier Association of
America
1500 K Street, N.W.
Suite 250
Washington, D.C. 20005

U.S. Transcom/TCJ5
Attention: Air Mobility
Analysis
508 Scott Drive
Scott AFB, IL 6222

First Secretary (Transport)
British Embassy
3100 Massachusetts Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20008

Jan K. Brueckner
Department of Economics
University of Illinois
at Champaign-Urbana
1206 South Sixth St.
Champaign, IL 61820

Janusz A. Ordover 131 Hemlock Hill Road New Canaan, CT 06840

Milena Novy-Marx Senior Economist AES Consulting, LLC 1388 Sutter Street Suite 650 San Francisco, CA 94109

Director of Flight Standards Federal Aviation Administration 800 Independence Ave., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20591